1 2 3	PERKINS COIE LLP James G. Snell (Bar No. 173070) jsnell@perkinscoie.com 3150 Porter Drive Palo Alto, California 94304-1212 Telephone: 650.838.4300	
4	Telephone: 650.838.4300 Facsimile: 650.838.4350	
5	Attorneys for Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.	
6	<b>5</b>	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ASFIKE KOLLOUKIAN, on behalf of herself and those similarly situated,	Case No. CV 15-2856-PSG-JEM
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO INITIAL
13	V.	COMPLAINT
14	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	Complaint Served: April 23, 2015 Current Response Date: June 12, 2015 New Response Date: July 10, 2015
15	Defendant.	New Response Date: July 10, 2015
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Plaintiff Asfike Kolloukian ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Uber Technologies,	
19	Inc. ("Defendant"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and	
20	agree to continue Defendants' responsive pleading deadline by twenty-eight (28)	
21	days, through and including July 10, 2015. In support, the Parties state as follows:	
22	WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed her putative class action Complaint against	
23	Defendant on April 17, 2015, and served Defendant with the Complaint on April	
24	23, 2015;	
25	WHEREAS, the parties filed a Stipulation to Extend Defendant's Time to	
26	Respond to the Initial Complaint by not more than 30 days, and Defendant's	
27	response is presently due on or before June 12, 2015 [Doc. No. 11];	
28		
		-1-

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT Case No. CV 15-2856

1	WHEREAS, Defendant recently retained counsel on this matter and an		
2	extension is necessary to allow Defendant's counsel to investigate the allegations in		
3	the Complaint;		
4	WHEREAS, there is good cause for an extension of time to respond to the		
5	Complaint for the additional reason that the parties have been engaged in		
6	discussions about the claims and stipulate to additional time;		
7	WHEREAS, this extension is not being sought for any improper purpose and		
8	will not interfere with the Court's schedule in this matter;		
9	NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby STIPULATE to extend Defendant's		
10	deadline to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint through and		
11	including July 10, 2015.		
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
13			
14			
15	DATED: June 5, 2015 PERKINS COIE LLP		
16	By:_/s/ James Snell		
17	JAMES G. SNELL		
18	Attorneys for Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.		
19			
20	DATED: June 8, 2015 KNAPP, PETERSEN & CLARKE		
21			
22	By: <u>/s/ André E. Jardini</u> André E. Jardini		
23	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	-2-		
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT Case No. CV 15-2856		